IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

Case No.: 4:24-cv-01022
PLAINTIFF: Mrs. Kelly Bland

DEFENDANT: Aaron Hansome

ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

1. Defendant's Response to Allegations:

- 1.1 Defendant admits to placing a single outbound call to the Plaintiff on April 10, 2024. The call was part of a legitimate business operation, conducted using a list of leads provided under a compliance agreement with a vendor.
- 1.2 Defendant denies all other allegations of repeated or unsolicited calls, as these calls were not initiated, directed, or controlled by the Defendant.
- 1.3 Defendant denies any wrongdoing under the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA). The Plaintiff's claims of vicarious liability are without merit.

2. Affirmative Defenses:

- 2.1 Good Faith Compliance: Defendant relied on signed compliance agreements from vendors, conducted scrubbing of call lists, and took immediate corrective action when issues arose.
- 2.2 Acts of Third Parties: Any calls beyond the one made by the Defendant were initiated by independent vendors who operated autonomously.
- 2.3 Failure to Mitigate Damages: Plaintiff did not inform the Defendant of her concerns in a timely manner, instead opting to escalate directly to litigation.
- 2.4 Unclean Hands: Plaintiff's actions, including returning calls and providing misleading statements, demonstrate a lack of clean hands.
- 2.5 Abuse of Process: Plaintiff has a history of filing numerous TCPA lawsuits, suggesting a pattern of exploitation rather than legitimate grievances.

COUNTERCLAIM

1. Emotional Distress:

- 1.1 Plaintiff's aggressive and intimidating tactics, including repeated settlement demands, threats of litigation, and abusive communications, caused significant emotional stress to the Defendant and his family.
- 1.2 Plaintiff's actions interfered with the Defendant's ability to care for his terminally ill mother, young child, and spouse.

2. Financial Damages:

- 2.1 Plaintiff's baseless lawsuit has caused Defendant to spend over 180 hours preparing his defense, detracting from his ability to earn income or focus on rebuilding his business.
- 2.2 Defendant seeks \$12,000 in damages to compensate for lost time, resources, and emotional strain.

3. Abuse of Process:

3.1 Plaintiff has demonstrated a pattern of exploiting the legal system for personal financial gain, as evidenced by her history of over 30 TCPA lawsuits in three years.

3.2 Defendant believes this lawsuit is a continuation of such tactics, aimed at extracting settlements rather than addressing legitimate grievances.

COMPREHENSIVE DEFENSE NARRATIVE

1. Overview:

- 1.1 This case stems from a single outbound call placed by the Defendant to the Plaintiff on April 10, 2024. The call was made using a lead list obtained under a vendor compliance agreement, which was scrubbed prior to use.
- 1.2 Plaintiff voluntarily returned the Defendant's call, expressed interest in insurance services, and later misrepresented the nature of the call in her lawsuit.

2. Defendant's Compliance Efforts:

- 2.1 Defendant maintained strict vendor compliance agreements and terminated vendors immediately upon discovering non-compliance.
- 2.2 Evidence includes WhatsApp communications, signed compliance agreements, and termination notices to vendors (Exhibits D-1 to D-13).

3. Plaintiff's Patterns of Litigation:

- 3.1 Plaintiff has filed 31 TCPA lawsuits in the past three years, often redacting her phone number and escalating claims to class actions.
- 3.2 Identical language in settlement demands shared with her sister, Kimberly Starling, raises concerns of coordinated tactics.

4. Emotional and Financial Impact:

- 4.1 Plaintiff's lawsuit has caused significant emotional distress and financial harm to the Defendant, who is the sole provider for his family.
- 4.2 Defendant has spent over 180 hours preparing his defense, detracting from work and family responsibilities.

JURY DEMAND

Defendant demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 6, 2025, a true and correct copy of the foregoing documents, including Defendant's Amended Answer, Counterclaim, and Motion to File Out of Time, was served upon the following:

Mrs. Kelly Bland kellybland516@gmail.com

Via: Email

Aaron Hansome

Verified by pdfFiller 01/06/2025

arsome

Pro Se Defendant